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# Methyl 8c-Phenyl-4b,8b,8c,8d-tetrahydrodibenzo $[a, f]$ cyclopropa $[c d]$ pentalene-8bcarboxylate 
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#### Abstract

The title molecule, $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{O}_{2}$, is the sole product of photolysis of a phenyl-methoxycarbonyl-dibenzobarrelene derivative, has a dibenzosemibullvalene structure, 8 c -phenyl and 8 b -methoxycarbonyl substituents, and normal bond lengths and angles.


## Comment

Photolysis of dibenzobarrelene ( 9,10 -dihydro-9, 10ethenoanthracene) derivatives proceeds via the di- $\pi$ methane reaction to produce dibenzosemibullvalene photoproducts. For unsymmetrically substituted 11,12derivatives, two isomeric dibenzosemibullvalene photoproducts (and their enantiomers) are possible, the proportions of the products being greatly influenced by the nature of the substituent groups (Rattray, Yang, Gudmundsdottir \& Scheffer, 1993). The proposed mechanism (Zimmerman, 1991) involves initial bond formation between a vinyl ( C 11 or C 12 ) and a benzo C atom, to form a biradical, with one of the radical sites at the second vinyl C atom. The polar nature and the radicalstabilizing ability of the 11,12 -substituents are important
in determining which of the two reaction pathways is more favourable. For $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Me} / \mathrm{CXYMe}$ derivatives ( $X=$ $\mathrm{S}, Y=\mathrm{O} ; X=\mathrm{O}, Y=\mathrm{S}$ ), for example, initial bond formation involves the C atom bearing the $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Me}$ substituent, with the intermediate biradical stabilized by resonance with the CSOMe or COSMe groups (Jones, Rattray, Scheffer \& Trotter, 1995); as a result, only the 8 c -thiono and 8 c -thiolo dibenzosemibullvalene products are formed.

(I)

The $\mathrm{CH}_{3} / \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Me}$ dibenzobarrelene derivative gives only the $8 \mathrm{~b}-\mathrm{CH}_{3} / 8 \mathrm{c}-\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Me}$ product, again in accord with radical stabilization by delocalization of the radical electron over the $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Me}$ group (Rattray et al., 1993). By contrast, the only photoproduct from photolysis of the $\mathrm{Ph} / \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Me}$ derivative has been shown to be the $8 \mathrm{c}-\mathrm{Ph} / 8 \mathrm{~b}-\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Me}$ dibenzosemibullvalene (Fig. 1); this is in accord with the greater radical-stabilizing ability of the phenyl substituent. The photoproduct molecule, (I) (Fig. 1) has a normal folded dibenzosemibullvalene skeleton, with a three-membered ring, and normal bond lengths and angles.


Fig. 1. View of the molecule ( $33 \%$ ellipsoids; dibenzobarrelene numbering system).

## Experimental

The material is obtained by photolysis of a 9,10 -dihydro- $9,10-$ ethenoanthracene derivative, with 11,12 phenyl and methoxycarbonyl substituents [Rattray et al., 1993, compound (1-10); Rattray, 1992; details are in the supplementary material].

Crystal data
$\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{O}_{2}$
$M_{r}=338.40$
Orthorhombic
Pbca
$a=15.266$ (2) $\AA$
$b=16.262$ (3) $\AA$
$c=14.1071(15) \AA$
$V=3502.1(7) \AA^{3}$
$Z=8$
$D_{x}=1.283 \mathrm{Mg} \mathrm{m}^{-3}$
$D_{m}$ not measured
$\mathrm{Cu} K \alpha$ radiation
$\lambda=1.5418 \AA$
Cell parameters from 21 reflections
$\theta=49.6-57.0^{\circ}$
$\mu=0.598 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$
$T=294 \mathrm{~K}$
Octahedron
$0.40 \times 0.40 \times 0.40 \mathrm{~mm}$
Colourless

## Data collection

Rigaku AFC-6S diffractometer
$\omega-2 \theta$ scan
Absorption correction:
$\psi$ scans (North, Phillips
\& Mathews, 1968)
$T_{\text {min }}=0.765, T_{\text {max }}=0.787$
3413 measured reflections
3413 independent reflections
2722 reflections with $I>3 \sigma(I)$
$\theta_{\text {max }}=77.62^{\circ}$
$h=0 \rightarrow 19$
$k=0 \rightarrow 20$
$l=0 \rightarrow 17$
3 standard reflections every 200 reflections intensity decay: $1.5 \%$

## Refinement

```
Refinement on \(F^{2}\)
\(R(F)=0.041\)
\(w R\left(F^{2}\right)=0.118\)
\(S=2.22\)
3413 reflections
236 parameters
H atoms not refined
\(w=1 /\left[\sigma^{2}\left(F_{o}^{2}\right)\right.\)
\[
\left.+0.00022\left(F_{o}^{2}\right)^{2}\right]
\]
\((\Delta / \sigma)_{\max }=0.001\)
```

$\Delta \rho_{\text {max }}=0.26 \mathrm{e}_{\AA^{-3}}$
$\Delta \rho_{\text {min }}=-0.21 \mathrm{e}^{-3}$
Extinction correction: Zachariasen (1967)
Extinction coefficient: $4.4(4) \times 10^{-6}$
Scattering factors from International Tables for Crystallography (Vol. C)

Data collection: MSC/AFC Diffractometer Control Software (Molecular Structure Corporation, 1993). Cell refinement: MSC/AFC Diffractometer Control Software. Data reduction: TEXSAN (Molecular Structure Corporation, 1995). Program(s) used to solve structure: SIR92 (Altomare, Cascarano, Giacovazzo \& Guagliardi, 1993). Program(s) used to refine structure: TEXSAN. Software used to prepare material for publication: TEXSAN.
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Supplementary data for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: FG1285). Services for accessing these data are described at the back of the journal.
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## Abstract

The title compound, $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$, is a product of photolysis of an unsymmetrical dibenzobarrelene diamide and has a dibenzosemibullvalene structure with normal molecular geometry and dimensions. Molecules are linked by one $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ hydrogen bond.

## Comment

Dibenzobarrelene 11,12-diesters undergo di- $\pi$-methane rearrangements in solution and in the solid state to give dibenzosemibullvalene diesters. Unsymmetrically substituted dibenzobarrelenes (with two different ester groups) give two possible dibenzosemibullvalene photoproducts and variations in product ratios have been interpreted in terms of differing electronic and intra- and intermolecular steric effects (Scheffer, Trotter, GarciaGaribay \& Wireko, 1988; Garcia-Garibay, Scheffer,

